

Message

---

**From:** McDavit, Michael W. [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4CB54848E7F641BF90E7CBBFEDB28971-MICHAEL W. MCDAVIT]  
**Sent:** 8/2/2017 9:52:33 PM  
**To:** McGill, Thomas [Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: regulatory cross-walk

Hi Tom,

I'll ring you tomorrow. I was in a WOTUS meeting that went way over time.

I think it is fine to share this matrix. In the ideal world I would have asked Oregon first, but I know the main guy, Eric Metz, and he would have said okay. I noticed you dropped the references to Oregon too.

Mike

---

**From:** McGill, Thomas  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 02, 2017 5:48 PM  
**To:** Justin B. Green <justin.b.green@dep.state.fl.us>; Seward, Margaret <Margaret.Seward@dep.state.fl.us>  
**Cc:** McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>; Hurlld, Kathy <Hurlld.Kathy@epa.gov>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** regulatory cross-walk

Justin and Megan,

Per our discussion this afternoon I'm attaching a regulatory cross-walk that includes the authorities of a state program that we would need to see in a 404 assumption package. While I believe this is comprehensive in terms of the scope of authorities that need to be in place for an approvable assumption package, I am in the process of verifying that within my office. This cross-walk template was used by EPA in our work with other states that have explored assumption, and while we included some examples of other state authorities within the attachment we removed the specific citations for the purpose of keeping those states anonymous. Hopefully you'll find this document useful.

We look forward to continuing our discussions and please don't hesitate to follow-up with us if you have any questions or would like to discuss any issues related to this.

Tom